

Typical characterization of theses grades

	Grade 0	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5
<b>Definition of research scope and goals</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Thesis goals not reported or cannot be recognized</li> <li>- Narrow or poorly defined research scope</li> <li>- Poorly defined goals for the thesis</li> <li>- Irrelevant research question</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Vague description of research scope or goals</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Some difficulties in defining goals, scope, and/or relevance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clearly and appropriately defined goals and scope</li> <li>- Carefully planned thesis</li> <li>- Relevance justified appropriately</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Precisely defined, and mainly well justified research scope.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Precisely defined and justified research scope</li> <li>- Demonstration of mature thinking in the definition of goals and research questions</li> <li>- Topic is very relevant</li> </ul>
<b>Command of the topic</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Poor command of the research topic and its theoretical framework</li> <li>- Few or irrelevant references</li> <li>- Source evaluation is lacking and the bibliography contains errors.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- References are few or of poor scientific quality.</li> <li>- Notable shortcomings in the referencing.</li> <li>- weak source evaluation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The cited works consist primarily of high-quality scientific publications, but some relevant references are missing</li> <li>- shortcomings in the source evaluation</li> <li>- good command of referencing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Good command of the research topic and its theoretical framework</li> <li>- Student has found the relevant reference materials on the topic</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The thesis combines the cited works and empirical data consistently and clearly. The cited works consist primarily of high-quality scientific publications (journals, other referred forums), which are sufficiently numerous and appropriately chosen.</li> <li>- Thesis demonstrates critical evaluation of existing knowledge</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Broad-based knowledge of the background material and the research topic</li> <li>- References throw light on the topic from a variety of perspectives</li> <li>- Thesis provides insightful synthesis of the existing knowledge on the subject</li> </ul>
<b>Methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Research or engineering methods not recognizable</li> <li>- False or inappropriate methods</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Weak and vague or non-existing reasons given for the methodological choices</li> <li>- Significant shortcomings in the application of methods</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Empirical data is scarce or there are shortcomings in its collection or analysis.</li> <li>- Critical analysis is scarce or non-existent.</li> <li>- Although methodological choices have been made, methods are used inconsistently.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Research questions answered using justified methods</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Appropriate methods have been used in a well-founded manner. Reliability and validity or trustworthiness of the study/results are evaluated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Methodological choices thoroughly justified</li> <li>- Excellent command of methods</li> </ul>
<b>Results and contribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No results can be recognized</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Results not in line with the goals or they are insignificant from the goal point of view</li> <li>- Minor independent input from the student</li> <li>- Few or poorly justified conclusions</li> <li>- Clearly erroneous results</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Goals and results of the thesis do not clearly match each other.</li> <li>- The student may have difficulties in comprehending the goal or scope of the research or in defining the research questions.</li> <li>- Thesis may also depend excessively on the cited works, i.e. the results are not based on independent research but rather on the references.</li> <li>- Little significance for the field of research or industry in question, and no contribution to knowledge</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Results in line with the goals</li> <li>- Conclusions drawn appropriately from the material</li> <li>- Cited works evaluated critically</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Results are of theoretical interest or have high practical relevance, and they answer the research questions.</li> <li>- Research process has been described at least on a general level while the transferability of the results has been evaluated to some extent.</li> <li>- Empirical data has been presented well and its relevance to the results is clear. The empirical data is sufficiently extensive to</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Thesis produces new results of interest to academia or industry or otherwise relevant to professionals in the field</li> <li>- Results evaluated critically and examined from a variety of perspectives</li> <li>- Use of appropriate references of high scientific quality while paying attention to source evaluation</li> </ul>

## Typical characterization of theses grades

			<p>can be clearly identified in the thesis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Conclusions drawn are few and may contain minor factual errors.</li> </ul>		<p>justify the conclusions drawn, and the line of reasoning behind the conclusions is easily followed.</p>	
<b>Presentation, language and structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Text has a lot of language errors</li> <li>- Thesis structure is unclear and the language does not facilitate the understanding of the content</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Language needs considerable revision - Overall appearance needs improvement</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Thesis is not a coherent, well-organised whole, its parts are out of balance.</li> <li>- thesis contains inconsistencies or unexplained conclusions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The language is appropriate</li> <li>- The text is easily understood and the structure is sufficiently clear</li> <li>- Overall appearance is appropriate</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The thesis is a consistent written presentation of the topic and a coherent and balanced whole.</li> <li>-Language of the thesis is fluent and may contain only a few mistakes.</li> <li>- The language facilitates the understanding of the content, arguments are consistent throughout the thesis</li> <li>- Figures and tables are illustrative</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Written in fluent, formal style</li> <li>- Impeccable and coherent overall appearance</li> </ul>
<b>Thesis process</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Time used to complete the thesis disproportionate to the difficulty of the topic</li> <li>- Severe thesis delay due to actions or inactivity of the student</li> <li>- Needed particularly lot of guidance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The time to complete the thesis was considerably longer than the expected time or time agreed.</li> <li>- Needed more than average level of guidance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Thesis mostly progressed according to the thesis schedule or the target time.</li> <li>- Student has utilized guidance</li> </ul>	<p>The thesis has been completed within the target time, or at least within an otherwise agreed upon.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Little process guidance is needed</li> <li>- Student has utilized guidance and feedback constructively</li> </ul>	<p>The thesis has been completed within the target time, or at least within an otherwise agreed upon</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Student demonstrates solid skills in working independently</li> <li>- Student was able to equal interaction in guidance situations</li> </ul>
<b>Other</b>						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Thesis includes a discovery or an invention for which a patent application has been filed</li> <li>- A scientific publication will be / has been made of the thesis</li> <li>- Thesis has considerable societal impact</li> </ul>